

Publication ethics and malpractice statement

Introduction

ISOS Conference Proceedings Series (ISOS-CPS) is an online edition of proceedings of the annual International Conference on Information Society and Technology (ICIST).

Information Society of Serbia – ISOS, as a publisher of the series is strongly and continuously committed to safe-guarding the principles of ethical and responsible research. The commitment is expressed in this publication ethics and malpractice statement. The document has been drafted as highly collaborative effort of the publisher staff and ICIST conference co-chairs, coordinated by the principal editor. It also embeds the feedback by some members of the International Program Committees of the ICIST's conference past editions. The document is inspired by the Code of Conduct and Best-Practice Guidelines for Journal Editors (Committee on Publication Ethics, 2011); several similar documents of the renown publishers have been used in comparative analysis which results guided the approval of the final version of this document.

Anyone who believes that the research published in this series, or the process that led to publishing a research in this series, do not comply with the principles listed in this document is invited to report that concern to the principal editor of the series.

Authors duties

Originality, plagiarism and acknowledgement of sources

Authors will ensure that all submitted contributions contain original work, not published or not submitted for publishing elsewhere. Authors will appropriately cite any work and/or words of others. Plagiarism in any of its forms is an unethical publishing behavior and it is unacceptable. Authors will comply with any of the editors' and/or publisher requests for proof of authorship and originality in a timely manner.

Co-authorship

Authors ensure that all coauthors (all persons who made substantial contributions to the work reported in the manuscript (such as technical help, writing and editing assistance, general support) and no inappropriate coauthors are included in the author list. Authors will verify that all coauthors have seen and approved the final version of the manuscript and agreed to its submission for publication.

Quality standards

Authors will ensure that all submitted contributions are meeting the highest standards for scholar communication, formatted by using the predefined templates and referenced by using the predefined referencing system.

Responsible data management

Authors will ensure that all data involved in the published research work is collected, curated, stored and maintained by using the highest ethical standards, with special treatment of data including personal information. If asked, authors will provide the raw data of their study together with the manuscript for editorial review.

Communication

Authors will cooperate fully with the publisher by responding promptly to editors' requests for clarifications and copyright permissions. Authors will remain in continuous, committed and timely collaboration with editors' to solve any of the ethical issues arising before or after publishing, including providing appropriate information for errata, if necessary.

Responsibilities of the reviewers

Quality, objectivity and promptness

Reviewers will ensure that a thorough, objective, fair, blind and timely peer review with clear formulation of the observations and with supporting arguments, is conducted, without prejudices. Personal criticism of the authors is not acceptable. Reviewers will review the assigned papers only if their topics are in the reviewers' expertise. Reviewers will immediately notify the editors and decline the invitation to review if they feel unqualified to review the manuscript or realize that its review within the deadline will be impossible so that alternative reviewers can be contacted. Reviewers will communicate with editors with regard to any unclear issues during the review process.

Plagiarism and acknowledgement of sources

Reviewers will report any kind of suspected ethical misconduct to the editor, including any substantial similarity or overlap between the manuscript under consideration and any other manuscript (published or unpublished) of which they have personal knowledge. Reviewers will ensure that any statement that is an observation, derivation or argument that has been reported in previous and other publications should be accompanied by the appropriate citation.

Confidentiality and conflict of interest

Reviewers will regard the reviewed work as confidential and thus, not discuss its content or the authors with the others. Reviewers will immediately remove themselves from the process in case of any kind of conflict of interest, including sharing the affiliation with the authors, so that alternative reviewers can be contacted in time.

Responsibilities of the editors

Objectivity

Editors will decide on the authorization of the publishing of the submitted work based on the relevance to the series scope, reviewers' scores and the requirements related to originality of the work only. The editor will evaluate the manuscripts without regard to the authors' race, gender, sexual orientation, ethnic origin, citizenship, religious belief, political philosophy or institutional affiliation.

Confidentiality, disclosure and conflict of interest

Editors will not disclose any information about a submitted manuscript to anyone other than the corresponding author, reviewers, potential reviewers, and the publisher, as appropriate. Editors will not use unpublished information disclosed in a submitted manuscript for their own research purposes without the authors' explicit written consent.

Quality of the review process

Editors will assign submitted papers for review to the reviewers with appropriate expertise, skills and experience. Editors will ensure that all potential reviewers from the reviewers' pool have submitted their previous consent to participate in the process and that they are informed about the timeline of the review process and number of papers to review, in advance. Editors will ensure that timeline of the review process is appropriate, allowing thorough assessment and time for clarifying any kind of found issues.

Openness and responsiveness

Editors will remain continuously available for any question by the potential authors and reviewers, related to the submission and review process and associated topics. Editors will provide the right to appeal any editorial decision. Editors will take responsive measures when ethical concerns are raised with regard to a submitted manuscript or published paper. If the result of the investigation shows that the ethical concern is well-founded, a correction, retraction, expression of concern or another note as relevant, will be published.

Responsibilities of the publisher

Publisher will commit to ensure the fastest publication process and continuous free access to the published articles.

Publisher will take all appropriate measures to clarify the alleged or proven scientific misconduct, fraudulent publication or plagiarism and to amend the article in question.

Publisher will make the best effort to ensure the maximum visibility of the published papers among the relevant communities.